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#### Abstract

The evolution, humanity has faced many difficulties, such as physical or spiritual. General solution provider, which makes driving direction progress or regression, one is beyond any bias, the educational system that has managed to develop each country. Influences and determines the quality of this system, an indisputable manner, the length and effective transition to the next stage of humanity: the knowledge society. This paper aims to evaluate the Romanian education system, from 2002 to 2010. Are pursued two main objectives: first, to clarify the situation in the period 2002-2007, and, secondly, the achievement of the forecast calculations for indicators that characterize education in Romania.


JEL classification: C82, C83, I21


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Educational system understand complex social action cultural transmission, generation, organization and management of individual or collective learning. Man by nature is a cultural being, educated. Relationship between culture and education is that we understand by culture training, education and the education process of transmitting culture. Learning is, in fact, cultural assimilation. Educational content aimed at culture, knowledge, that all information, knowledge, goods and cultural products, values, etc.

For quantitative assessment of the education system, there are plenty of indicators - expressed both in absolute terms and relative value. The main indicators for assessing the educational system are:

- school-age population (PVS) - is the population whose age falls within specific educational levels according to regulations. Is determined based on demographic data and special censuses, representing people age 3-25 years, as follows: 4-6 years - for pre-school; 7-10 years - for primary education; 11 to 14 years - for secondary education; 15 to 18 years - for secondary and vocational education, secondary
education and apprenticeship; 19 to 23 years and over - for higher education;
- school population (Ps) - are all children, pupils and students enrolled in the training and education, a school year, whatever forms they attend school and age;
- out of school population (Pn) - includes persons who are of school age are not enrolled in an organized form of education:

$$
P n=P v s-P s ;
$$

- degree of coverage (GC) - is calculated as percentage ratio between the number of school population and school-age population:

$$
G c=\frac{P s}{P v s} \cdot 100
$$

- four-year-olds in education participation rate (\%) - this indicator presents the percentage of the 4 year olds who are enrolled in education-oriented pre-primary institutions. These institutions provide education-oriented care for young children. They can either be schools or non-school settings, which generally come under authorities or ministries other than those responsible for education. They must recruit staff with specialised qualifications in education. Day nurseries, playgroups and day care centres, where the staff are not required to hold a qualification in education, are not included [5];
- 18-year-olds in education participation rates, all levels (\%) - this indicator gives the percentage of all 18-year-olds who are still in any kind of school (all ISCED levels). It gives an indication of the number of young people who have not abandoned their efforts to improve their skills through initial education and it includes both those who had a regular education career without any delays as well as those who are continuing even if they had to repeat some steps in the past [5];
- loss of school (PES) - are students who dropped further study during the school year or postgimnazial cycle, and analyzed either school year or cycle of education. School losses are determined based on variables ,school students enrolled at the beginning of the cycle" (Ei), „school students transfer to and from school that year unit (Et), „graduate students at the end of school" (Ep), and „students deceased" (Ed), so:

$$
\text { Pes }=E i \pm E t-(E p+E d) ;
$$

- student-teacher ratio (Rep) - is calculated by dividing „the number of full time equivalent student" (E) to „number of teachers teaching full-time equivalents" (Pr). Only teachers in service (including special education teachers) are considered. Relationship calculation is as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Rep}=\frac{E}{P r} \cdot 100
$$

- absenteeism - is the phenomenon that reflects the students enrolled in an organized form of education do not attend formal schedule, for unjustified reasons. Absenteeism rate (Ra) can be applied to the average student, formation of study, subject, semester, school year, school unit, administrative unit, etc.. Is determined based on the variables „number of hours of absence" (Oa), „number of days of absence" (Za), „total number of students" (E), „all hours" (O) and „the number of days in the curricula" (Z):

$$
R a=\frac{\frac{\sum O a}{\sum Z a}}{\frac{E \cdot O}{Z}}
$$

- promovability - is analyzed by graduated rate ( $R p$ ), which is calculated as percentage ratio between „number of students who passed the school year" (Ep) and „the total number of students" (E):

$$
R p=\frac{E p}{E} \cdot 100
$$

- intake rate (Rad) - is calculated as percentage ratio between „number of first year students study a level of education" (E1) and „the number of final year students study the lower level who attended school the previous year" (Eu):

$$
\operatorname{Rad}=\frac{E 1}{E u} \cdot 100 ;
$$

- school leavers - is where a student has attended school some time after leaving school with no intention to come back. Dropout rate (Ras) is calculated as percentage ratio between „number of students who left school" (A) and „the total number of students who were enrolled in that school year" (E) based on the relationship:

$$
\text { Ras }=\frac{A}{E} \cdot 100 ;
$$

- early school-leavers - percentage of thepopulation aged 18-24 with at most lower secondary education andnot in further education or training. From 20 November 2009, this indicator is based on annual averages of quarterly data instead of one unique reference quarter in spring. See footnotes for further details. Early school leavers refers to persons aged 18 to 24 fulfilling the following two conditions: first, the highest level of education or training attained is ISCED $0,1,2$ or 3 c short, second, respondents declared not having received any education or training in the four weeks preceding the survey (numerator). The denominator consists of the total population of the same age group, excluding no answers to the questions "highest level of education or training attained" and "participation to education and training". Both the numerators and the denominators come from the EU Labour Force Survey [5];
- illiteracy - is a cultural and educational status of adults who can not read or write and did not attend an organized form of education. Determine the rate of illiteracy (Rab) as percentage ratio between „number of people who do not know how to read and write" $(\mathrm{Pa})$ and „the total number of persons" $(\mathrm{P})$ :

$$
R a b=\frac{P a}{P} \cdot 100
$$

- total population having completed at least upper secondary education, population aged 25 to 64 (\%) - the indicator shows the percentage of the adult population (25-64 years old) that has completed upper secondary education. The indicator aims to measure the share of the population that is likely to have the minimum necessary qualifications to actively participate in social and economic life. It should be noted that completion of upper secondary education can be achieved in European countries after varying lengths of study, according to different national educational systems [5];
- share of women among tertiary students; Women among students in ISCED 5-6 - as
\% of thetotal students at this level total - science, mathematics and computing engineering, manufacture and construction (\%) - this indicator presents the percentage of women among all students in tertiary education irrespective of field of education and among all students in the fields of mathematics, science and computing and in the fields of engineering, manufacturing and construction. The levels and fields of education and training used, follow the 1997 version of the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED97) and the Eurostat manual of fields of education and training (1999) [5].


## 2. EVOLUTION OF EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM IN ROMANIA, IN THE PERIOD 2002-2010

Education indicators are among the significant indicators of the level and effects of investment in human capital, because it currently depends on quality, especially in perspective, economic and social progress of each country.

Table no. 1 presents the general indicators of education in our country during 2002-2010. Number of schools is decreasing, while the enrolled population seems to resume their downward trend after the year 2007/2008 increased slightly. Number of children in kindergartens is increasing the number of pupils falls and the number of students continue their growth. Number of teachers staff after an increase in the 2004/2005 school year, reinforcing its gradually decreasing trend (in these estimates did not take into account the reduction scheduled for 2010 with 15,000 employees in the education system). Number of pupils per teacher is kept on the range of 15 to 16 students to one teacher.

Table no. 1 General indicators of the education system in Romania during 2002-2010.

| School year | $\begin{gathered} 20021 \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 / \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 / \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 / \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2006 / \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \text { I } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008 / \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units | 23679 | 18012 | 14396 | 11865 | 8484 | 8230 | 7930 | 7121 |
| Enrolled population | 4496786 | 4472493 | 4403880 | 4360831 | 4345581 | 4404581 | 4325544 | 4300264 |
| Children in kindergartens | 629703 | 636709 | 644911 | 648338 | 648862 | 650324 | 657440 | 661526 |
| Pupils | 3270786 | 3214999 | 3108634 | 2996029 | 2911213 | 2846904 | 2743757 | 2653946 |
| Students | 596297 | 620785 | 650335 | 716464 | 785506 | 907353 | 924347 | 984792 |
| Teachers staff | 286670 | 281272 | 285861 | 281034 | 277318 | 276849 | 274921 | 273041 |
| Number of pupils upon a teacher | 15.69 | 15.90 | 15.41 | 15.52 | 15.67 | 15.91 | 15.73 | 15.69 |

Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010
Evolution of schools in the period 2002-2010 (Table no. 2) show an increase in kindergarten in 2007-2009, when over the period 2002 to 2007 it declined continuously. Note, the table is the fact that most of their schools increase their number (the primary and secondary schools, vocational and apprenticeship schools, tertiary education institutions). Also not taken into account in achieving the estimates for the past two years the planned reform in education from school year 2010-2011, which aims to reduce the number of schools.

Evolution of teaching staff by level of education, shows an increase during 20022010 kindergarten staff. All growth records and staff in tertiary education. Staff in primary and secondary education, vocational and apprenticeship education, and post high school and foremen education fell. High school staff has developed oscillating around 60,000 employees (Table no. 3).

Table no. 2. Evolution of schools in Romania, by level of education, from 2002 to 2010.

| School year | $20021$ | $20031$ | $20041$ | $\begin{gathered} 20051 \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $2006 \text { / }$ $2007$ | $2007 \mid$ | $2008 /$ | 20091 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergartens | 9547 | 7616 | 5687 | 3769 | 1720 | 1731 | 1742 | 1753 |
| Primary and Secondary schools | 12456 | 8714 | 7023 | 6411 | 5045 | 4737 | 4429 | 3592 |
| High schools | 1388 | 1397 | 1413 | 1410 | 1421 | 1426 | 1431 | 1436 |
| Vocational and apprenticeship schools | 85 | 79 | 77 | 90 | 115 | 147 | 142 | 154 |
| Post high school and foremen education schools | 78 | 84 | 79 | 78 | 79 | 83 | 81 | 81 |
| Tertiary education institutions | 125 | 122 | 117 | 107 | 104 | 106 | 105 | 104 |

Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010
Table no. 3. Evolution teachers in Romania, by level of education, from 2002 to 2010.

| School year | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2002 / \\ 2003 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2003 / \\ 2004 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 / \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 2005 / \\ 2006 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 2006 / \\ 2007 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2007 I \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2008 / \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-school education | 34307 | 34585 | 35288 | 35755 | 36555 | 37348 | 37798 | 38415 |
| Primary and secondary education | 154197 | 150510 | 150168 | 144489 | 141601 | 138972 | 135803 | 132702 |
| High school education | 60988 | 58925 | 62192 | 61914 | 62048 | 61620 | 62506 | 62856 |
| Vocational and apprenticeship education | 6063 | 5782 | 6290 | 6234 | 5643 | 5939 | 5883 | 5852 |
| Post high school and foremen education | 1496 | 1333 | 1066 | 1099 | 888 | 1006 | 773 | 666 |
| Tertiary education | 29619 | 30137 | 30857 | 31543 | 30583 | 31964 | 32159 | 32552 |

Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010
Evolution of graduates in Romania, by level of education, in the period 2002-2010 is presented in Table no. 4. Number of graduates from secondary education declined, like that of post high school and foremen education. The other three categories - high school, vocational and apprenticeship, and tertiary education - we increases the number of graduates.

Table no. 4. Evolution of graduates in Romania, by level of education, from 2002 to 2010.

| School year | $\begin{gathered} 20021 \\ 2003 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2003 / \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 / \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20051 \\ 2006 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006 / \\ 2007 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20071 \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2008 / \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2009 / \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secondary education | 307551 | 296777 | 265179 | 231842 | 219507 | 191864 | 167762 | 143660 |
| High school education | 173584 | 172371 | 177576 | 185255 | 187576 | 191533 | 195620 | 199707 |
| Vocational and apprenticeship education | 83294 | 77762 | 152875 | 150187 | 133829 | 171638 | 188987 | 206337 |
| Post high school and foremen education | 25337 | 22636 | 18530 | 15899 | 12660 | 9385 | 6176 | 2967 |
| Tertiary education | 103402 | 110533 | 108475 | 112244 | 125499 | 125802 | 130393 | 134983 |

Source: NIS for the period 2002-2007, private estimates for the year 2007/2009
From the perspective of higher education in Romania, it is interesting to analyze the distribution of students' majors (Table no. 5). Thus, the total number of students increased, reaching from 596,297 (2002/2003 school year) to 984,793 (during the school year 2009/2010). A similar situation is found for all specialties, without exception.

Figure 1 shows the detailed structure of students' majors. In 2002/2003 academic year the largest share was held by students of specialty "University-teaching" ( $30.29 \%$ ), followed by the "Economics" (26.53\%), and "Technical" (25.58\%). During the academic year 2009/2010 hierarchy is managed by students at the "Economics" (33.68\%), students at specialization "University-teaching" passed second place with $29.65 \%$, and the place
third are students at the "Technical" with 18.91\%. Students from the "MedicalPharmaceutical" hold a share of $4.57 \%$, while students at the "Art" were only $1.25 \%$.
Table no. 5. Evolution of students from Romania, specialization groups in the period 20022010.

| Group of specializations | $\begin{aligned} & 2002 / \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2003 / \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2004 / \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2005 / \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 / \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20071 \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $2008 /$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2009 / \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students enrolled - total | 596297 | 620785 | 650335 | 716464 | 785506 | 907353 | 924348 | 984793 |
| Technical | 152547 | 158014 | 161850 | 164736 | 170921 | 178258 | 181404 | 186266 |
| Medicine and pharmacy | 32495 | 33072 | 35039 | 36422 | 40028 | 41398 | 43086 | 44993 |
| Economics | 158185 | 172409 | 188505 | 221619 | 242330 | 294417 | 305315 | 331716 |
| Law science | 63456 | 60613 | 59621 | 63586 | 82696 | 116538 | 107981 | 117570 |
| University-pedagogy | 180603 | 187141 | 195190 | 218860 | 238711 | 265624 | 274703 | 291946 |
| Artistic | 9011 | 9536 | 10130 | 11241 | 10820 | 11118 | 11859 | 12302 |

Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010


Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010
Fig. 1. Evolution of students on majors, in Romania, during 2002-2010.
Evolution rate for pupils and students per 10,000 population in Romania, during 2002-2010, is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2 shows that the rate of students from 10,000, although higher than the 10,000 students, recorded sales, while the latter is increasing, nearly doubling from 2002 to 2010.

Degree in education coverage of school-age population in Romania, age groups, from 2002-2010, is shown in Figure 3. The total degree of coverage in the education of the population is growing between 70 and $80 \%$, exceeding the upper limit of that range last year. Age groups, the highest level is met for the population of " $7-10$ years" and "11-14 years" ( $90 \%$ ). Lowest level occurs in people aged "19-23 years and over", but that is booming and has exceeded $60 \%$.

An interesting situation for our country is evident from Figure 4, the overall level of coverage we see how the education of the population (growing) is engaged to the degree of coverage for females (upper) and the degree of coverage for the male population (below).


Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010
Fig. 2. Evolution rate per 10,000 population in Romania during 2002-2010.


Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010
Fig. 3. Developments in education coverage level of school-age population, by age group in Romania during 2002-2010.


Source: NIS for the period 2002-2008, private estimates for the year 2008/2010
Fig. 4. Developments in education coverage level of school-age population in Romania, by sex, during 2002-2010.
Another relevant indicator is the "abandon rate in pre-university education". The highest level of abandonment is made for pupils "Post high school and foremen education" (over $8 \%$ in most years) - Figure 5. To compete with this category for four of the seven years of analysis, students are to "Vocational and apprenticeship education". Lowest level of drop-out rates occur in pupils' "primary and secondary education".


Source: NIS for the period 2002-2007, private estimates for the year 2007/2010
Fig. 5. Evolution of "abandon rate in pre-university education" in Romania during 2002-2010.

Abandon rate in pre-university education in Romania, by development region, in 2006/2007 school year, is depicted in Figure 6. In "Primary and secondary education" the highest drop-out rate has West Region (2.5\%) and lowest South-West Oltenia (1.5\%). To "High school education" the highest rate of abandonment have a Center and Southeast regions ( $3.8 \%$ ) and lowest Northeast Region (2.9\%). To "Vocational and apprenticeship education" highest rate of abandonment is a Bucharest-Ilfov Region (9.4\%) and lowest South-West Oltenia (7.0\%). To "Post high school and foremen education" the highest drop-out rate has West Region (11.7\%) and lowest Region Bucharest-Ilfov (3.4\%).


Source: NIS
Fig. 6. Evolution of "Abandon rate in pre-university education" in Romania, by development regions, in 2006/2007 school year.

## 3. CONCLUSIONS

In Romania, the number of schools is declining, as well as the school population. Number of children in kindergartens is increasing the number of pupils falls and the number of students continue their growth. Number of teachers staff strengthen their downward trend. During 2002-2010, the number of graduates of secondary education and post high school and foremen education declined, while the other three categories (high school, vocational and apprenticeship, and tertiary education) have increased. Distribution analysis shows that students in the specializations in academic year 2009/2010, the chain is run by students at the "Economics", students of specialty "University-pedagogy" passed on the second and third place students are the "Technical". Evolution rate per 10,000 population of pupils and students in Romania shows that students rate, although higher than the students, recorded sales, while the latter is increasing. Degree in education coverage of school-age population in the period 2002-2010, in Romania is growing. "Abandon rate in pre-university education" is highest for pupils "Post high school and foremen education". Leading regions in terms of drop-out rates are: West Region (the "Primary and secondary education" and "Post high school and foremen education"),

Center and South East Regions ("High school education") and Bucharest-Ilfov Region ("Vocational and apprenticeship education").
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